

Planning Staff Report to Greenville Planning Commission January 11, 2019

for the January 17, 2019 Public Hearing

Docket Number:

SN 18-992

Proposal:

Rename "Bliss Way" to "Joseph Mathis Way"

Applicant:

Reid Hipp

Property Owner:

Carolina Crafted Construction

Property Location:

Sumlar Hall Drive

Staff Recommendation:

Approve

Applicable Sections of the City of Greenville Code of Ordinances:

Sec. 2-372 Function, Powers and Duties of the Planning Commission Sec. 19-2.3.13 (C) Land Development, Street naming or name change.

Staff Analysis:

This application proposes to rename Bliss Way, which was approved by the Planning Commission on the preliminary plat for SD 18-021 on 11/15/18, to Joseph Mathis Way. The change is in response to a request by the Nicholtown Neighborhood Association president seeking to honor this important member of their community:

Rev. Mathis was the first minister at Young Laymen CME Church and served for a great number of years there. He was also a teacher/coach at the famous Sterling High School in Greenville and was the first African American to serve on Greenville City Council. He lived in the neighborhood and touched many young people's lives as minister and coach until he retired from public service. He was the father of Davida Mathis Allen, a local attorney in Greenville.

- Email from Sylvia Palmer, 11/19/18

The proposed named has been approved by Greenville County E-911 and the city's traffic engineering division.

Traffic Engineering Comments -- Approve w/ Comments

Comments:

Developer is responsible for fabrication and installation of new street signs, which must meet city standards.

Application # N 18-99	
Date Received: 11126	Accepted by IAG (CDP+JBG)
Date deemed complete	App Deny Conditions

APPLICATION FOR A STREET NAME CHANGE CITY OF GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

CITY OF GREENV	ILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA	
APPLICANT INFORMATION		
Reid Hipp	8644491779	
APPLICANT NAME	PHONE	
PO Box 391		
ADDRESS	FAX	
Greenville, SC 29602	reid@reidhipp.com	
	EMAIL	
	NAME INFORMATION	
CURRENT NAME: Bliss Way		
мар воок: 2550	PAGE: 4972	
PROPOSED NAME. Joseph Mathis Way		
<u>IN</u>	ISTRUCTIONS	
1. THE APPLICATION AND FEE, MADE PAYA RECEIVED BY THE PLANNING AND DEVELO REFLECTED ON THE ATTACHED SCHEDULE	BLE TO THE CITY OF GREENVILLE, MUST BE PMENT OFFICE NO LATER THAN 5:00 PM OF THE DATE E.	
2. YOU MUST ATTACH THE REQUIRED APP	LICATION FEE: \$550.00.	
APPLICATION (YOU MUST VERIFY THAT THE REQUIREMENTS FOR STREET NAMES). SEI	E "STANDARDS" QUESTIONS ON PAGE 2 OF THIS E PROPOSED STREET NAME COMPLIES WITH THE E ALSO SECTION 19-2.3.13, STREET NAMING OR NAME ATIONS MANUAL FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. DRESSING THESE QUESTIONS.	
THE PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA. IF T "INSUFFICIENT", THE ADMINISTRATOR WILL APPLICANT RESOLVE THE DEFICIENCIES. "APPLICATION CONFERENCE WITH A PLANT	CONTACT THE APPLICANT TO REQUEST THAT THE	
5. YOU MUST POST THE SUBJECT PROPER PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED HEARING DATE	TY AT LEAST 15 DAYS (BUT NOT MORE THAN 18 DAYS)	
SIGNS ARE ACKNOWLEDGED AS R	RECEIVED BY THE APPLICANT	
	F. Reid Hipp	

6. THE APPLICANT AND PROPERTY OWNER AFFIRM THAT ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION; INCLUDING ANY/ALL SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND THEY HAVE PROVIDED FULL DISCLOSURE OF THE RELEVANT FACTS.

IN ADDITION, THE APPLICANT AND PROPERTY OWNER AFFIRM THAT THE TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND SUBJECT OF THIS APPLICATION IS, OR IS NOT, RESTRICTED BY ANY RECORDED COVENANT THAT IS CONTRARY TO, CONFLICTS WITH, OR PROHIBITS, THE REQUESTED ACTIVITY.

IF THE PLANNING OFFICE HAS ACTUAL NOTICE* THAT A RESTRICTIVE COVENANT* IS CONTRARY TO, CONFLICTS WITH, OR PROHIBITS THE REQUESTED ACTIVITY, THE OFFICE MUST NOT ISSUE THE PERMIT UNLESS THE OFFICE RECEIVES CONFIRMATION FROM THE APPLICANT THAT THE RESTRICTIVE COVENANT HAS BEEN RELEASED BY ACTION OF THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY, PROPERTY HOLDERS, OR BY COURT ORDER.

TO THAT END, THE APPLICANT HEREBY AFFIRMS THAT THE TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND SUBJECT OF THE ATTACHED APPLICATION IS _____ OR IS NOT \underline{X} RESTRICTED BY ANY RECORDED COVENANT THAT IS CONTRARY TO, CONFLICTS WITH, OR PROHIBITS THE REQUESTED ACTIVITY.

Reid Hipp/Carolina Crafted Construction, LLC	APPLICANT
11/23/2018	DATE
Carolina Crafted Construction, LLC	PROPERTY OWNER
11/23/2018	DATE

APPLICANT RESPONSE TO SECTION 19-2.3.13, STREET NAMING OR NAME CHANGE

(YOU MAY ATTACH A SEPARATE SHEET)

THE APPLICANT MUST VERIFY THAT THE PROPOSED STI	REET NAME COMPLIES WITH THE
FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS AS ENUMERATED IN THE D	DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS MANUAL:

- THE PROPOSED STREET NAME DOES NOT DUPLICATE ANOTHER STREET NAME WITHIN THE CITY.
- THE PROPOSED STREET NAME IS NOT PHONETICALLY SIMILAR TO ANOTHER STREET NAME WITHIN THE CITY (E.G., GAYLE VS GAIL VS GALE).
- THE PROPOSED STREET NAME DOES NOT INCLUDE PREFIXES SUCH AS: NORTH, SOUTH, EAST, WEST, NEW, OLD, ETC.
- THE PROPOSED STREET NAME DOES NOT SIMPLY ALTER A CURRENT STREET NAME SUFFIX (ROAD, STREET, LANE, COURT, CIRCLE, ETC.).
- THE PROPOSED STREET NAME DOES NOT COMBINE OR SEPARATE TWO OR MORE WORDS OF A CURRENT STREET NAME (E.G., OAKLAND AVE. VS OAK LAND AVE., PINECREST AVE. VS PINE CREST AVE, ETC.).
- THE PROPOSED STREET NAME DOES NOT "SPELL-OUT" A CURRENT NUMBERED STREET NAME (E.G., FIRST VS 1ST, ETC.). IF THE PROPOSED STREET NAME CONTAINS A NUMBER, IT MUST BE "SPELLED-OUT".
- THE PROPOSED STREET NAME DOES NOT EXCEED FIFTEEN (15) CHARACTERS.

THE PLANNING COMMISSION WILL ASSIGN THE APPROPRIATE SUFFIX PURSUANT TO THE STANDARDS ENUMERATED IN THE **DESIGN AND SPECIFICATIONS MANUAL**.





